

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,621	12/03/2004	Tatsuo Tsuneka	SAE-036	5295
20374	7590 07/25/2006		EXAMINER	
KUBOVCIK & KUBOVCIK			CHEUNG, WILLIAM K	
SUITE 710 900 17TH ST	TREET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20006		1713	
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Ł			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/516,621	TSUNEKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William K. Cheung	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Sply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	<u> 1 June 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	·				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the com						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bur						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies not	receivea.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ 		e)/Mail Date oformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	_ ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/516,621 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

The request filed on June 21, 2006 for a Request for Continued Examination
 (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 10/516,621 is acceptable
 and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/516,621

Art Unit: 1713

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ashihara et al. (US Patent 6,277,912) for the reasons adequately set forth from paragraph 4 of the office action of December 21, 2005.

Applicant's arguments filed June 21, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that Ashihara et al. (col. 15-16, Table 1, Example No. 6) clearly do not disclose the aqueous resin dispersion of claim 1 because Ashihara et al. disclose a dispersion comprising a chlorinated polyolefin grafted with methacrylic acid and 2-ethylhexyl methacrylate that are polar, and therefore, can give an aqueous resin composition without using a surfactant. However, Ashihara et al. (col. 15-16, Table 1, Example No. 6) compositionally still contain a chlorinated polyolefin grafted with maleic anhydride which the compositional requirement of claim 1. Therefore, claims 1-5

Art Unit: 1713

stand rejected for the reasons adequately set forth from paragraph 4 of the office action of December 21, 2005.

5. Claims 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ashihara et al. (US Patent 6,277,912) for the reasons adequately set forth from paragraph 5 of the office action of December 21, 2005.

Applicant's arguments filed June 21, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that the Affidavit filed May 22, 2006 provides comparative examples to show that the criticality of the claimed sequence of addition for obtain an aqueous dispersion. However, the examiner disagrees because the comparative data submitted do not commensurate to the scope of proving that the process of Ashihara et al. can not be used to prepare a dispersion.

In proving that the process of Ashihara et al. cannot produce a dispersion, applicants fail to include the chlorinated polyolefins of Ashihara et al. in the comparative study. Applicants must recognize that the objective of the comparative study is to show materials of Ashihara et al. cannot be used to produce a dispersion, not applicants' materials.

Application/Control Number: 10/516,621 Page 5

Art Unit: 1713

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William K. Cheung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM to 2:00PM; 4:00PM to 8:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David WU can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William K. Cheung, Ph. D.

Primary Examiner

July 14, 2006

WILLIAM K. CHEUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER